

Results: Zonal Level Evaluation: Technical Presentation

1. Shortlisted Teams for Final Round of Evaluation

#	Zone	Team Id	Team Name	Team Leader
1	Central	TEAM02090	BLUTOV	Astitva Chouksey
2	Central	TEAM01968	BARI	Dr. Neelesh Malviya
3	Central	TEAM02250	Stressfree	Muthukumar Muthupalani
4	Central	TEAM02039	Feynman Troopers	Kanupriya Chaudhary
5	Central	TEAM02184	PPPU-Portable Pork Production Unit	Ramakrishna C
6	Central	TEAM01958	Ecolift Technology	Subham Subhadarshi Panda
7	Central	TEAM01980	CORE SCIENCE	Dr. Shivani Bante
8	Central	TEAM02031	Caring Coders	Satvik Pathak
9	West	TEAM02013	HANSPATIKA (Make sure, You drink pure and safe Milk)	Dr. Vimal Ramani
10	West	TEAM02087	GreeNavinya Group	Vinod Kadam
11	West	TEAM02164	z TB Warriors	Gayatri Jayaraj
12	West	TEAM02251	Artificial Intelligent Farm	Vilas Dongre
13	South	TEAM01966	Canary Biosensors	Amar Vignesh S
14	South	TEAM01979	Dairy Tech Innovators	Natesan Karthikeyan
15	South	TEAM02193	NANO INVENTOR	K Geetha
16	South	TEAM02024	BioMEat	Dr Dhoolappa Melinamani
17	South	TEAM02195	DrPashu Technologies	Kumar B.M.
18	South	TEAM01998	Team easyvet	Jayan Joseph
19	South	TEAM02300	Green Farm Innovations	Dr. Sangeetha S Gireesh
20	South	TEAM02032	Livestock Market	Kowshik A V
21	South	TEAM02297	Ksheeradhara	Sree Vishnu
22	South	TEAM02005	FARM DIARY	D Rajendran
23	East	TEAM02007	TapRoute	Rajendran Thomas
24	East	TEAM02008	FeedBot	Vivek Kumar Gupta
25	East	TEAM01996	FAID (Face-based Animal Identification)	Santanu Banik
26	East	TEAM02283	Dr. Agrivet	Dr. Anirvid Sarkar
27	North	TEAM02253	Lainingthou Sanamahi Team	Th Bidyalakshmi Devi
28	North	TEAM02036	Veterocrats	Siddhant Tiwari
29	North	TEAM02094	PUKAR	Dr. Anil Kumar Puniya
30	North	TEAM02322	AI4AI	Virender Kadyan
31	North	TEAM02163	Alternate fuel from animal bio-waste	Lovepreet Kaur
32	North	TEAM02176	Green Lantern	Rajat Sharma

Congratulations Teams!

Please follow the below guidelines and evaluation criteria next round of evaluation



2. Guidelines for Next Round:

1. The team should prepare the presentation in the attached format and follow the formatting guidelines as mentioned
2. We request you to prepare and keep the presentation ready by 22nd December 2021
3. The team will be called for online presentation for this round on 23rd and 24th December 2021 (detailed time slots will be shared on 21st December 2021)
4. For this round, only one chance per team will be given to present before the evaluation team
5. Team can take a reference of Evaluation criteria (attached) and prepare for the presentation accordingly
6. Participation of all team members and leader is mandatory for this round of presentation
7. Please note, you cannot change the team members/ leader during the competition
8. Organising Committee of KRITAGYA will not use/ discuss/ publish any information/ idea/solution provided by the applicant anywhere on the public platform

Strictly use kritagya@icar.gov.in official email for any queries related to the event

3. Evaluation Criteria : National Level Technical Presentation

Criteria	Description	Illustrative weightage
Solution offered to problem statement Novelty / Uniqueness (max. 2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description of the Agtech innovation in the form of Business model with solution offered to problem statement - Novelty, Uniqueness (Technical capabilities) • Products/ Solutions having IPR capabilities 	20%
Market and competitive landscape (max. 4 slides)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Addressed the market and competitive landscape in 7Ps of Marketing mix • Suitably presented the solution in Product life cycle along with the challenges and strategies to overcome the challenges • Model to be present in Porter's 5 forces strategy matrix • Suitable strategies access the market and address the competition • What is Go To Market and revenue strategies 	50%
Team composition and involvement (max. 2 slides)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Show a well-rounded team, technical and business capabilities • Relevant Experiences / Successes / Failures (Good war stories) • Leadership Experience/ Education – whichever is impressive • Traction / Performance / Awards / testimonials 	20%
Plan and presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confidence and conviction in presentation. • To what extent project timelines and deliverables reasonable? • To what extent the presentation is nicely structured and convincing • To what extent questions answered during presentation to jury 	10%